arXiv:1207.1552v2 [math.DG] 28 Jul 2012

ADIABATIC LIMIT AND CONNECTIONS IN FINSLER GEOMETRY

HUITAO FENG[1] AND MING LI[2]

Abstract. In this paper, we identify the Chern connection on a Finsler manifold to the Bott connection on the natural foliation of the projective sphere bundle of the Finsler manifold. Moreover, we show that the corresponding Cartan connection can be obtained through the adiabatic limit of the Levi-Civita connection of the induced Sasaki-type Riemannian metric on the projective sphere bundle. We also construct Chern-Simons type invariants on a Finsler manifold.

Keywords: adiabatic limit, Bott connection, Chern connection, Cartan connection, Chern-Simons type form, Godbillon-Vey-type class

Introduction

In Finsler geometry, the Chern connection and the Cartan connection are two basic connections which have remarkable properties. Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold. Let $\pi : SM \to M$ be the projective sphere bundle of M. Then the Finsler structure F on M defines naturally an Euclidean structure on the pull-back vector bundle $\pi^*TM \to SM$ and a Sasaki-type Riemannian metric on SM. The Chern and Cartan connections are connections on π^*TM and defined from different geometric reasons.

On the other hand, the Finsler structure F gives a splitting of $T(SM)$. One part is the vertical tangent bundle $V(SM)$ formed by the tangent vectors of the (vertical) projective spheres, which is an integrable subbundle of $T(SM)$. Another part is the horizontal tangent bundle $H(SM)$, which is defined as the orthogonal complement of $V(SM)$ in $T(SM)$ with respect to the Sasaki-type Riemannian metric on SM. It is well-known that $H(SM)$ with its restriction metric is isometric to π^*TM.

In this paper, we consider SM as a foliation foliated by projective spheres. By foliation theory, there exist a basic connection, i.e., the Bott connection and its symmetrization on the transversal bundle $H(SM)$. We will prove that the Bott connection is exactly the Chern connection under the identification of $H(SM)$ and π^*TM. From this view point, the Cartan connection is just the symmetrization of the Chern connection with respect to the metric on π^*TM. By using the adiabatic limit computations in Liu-Zhang [5], the relations between the Chern connection, the Cartan connection and the Levi-Civita connection associated to the Sasaki-type Riemannian metric are given.

---

[1] Partially supported by NSFC (Grant No. 10921061), Fok Ying Tong Education Foundation, Chongqing NSF and the Scientific research project of CQUT.

[2] Partially supported by Chongqing NSF (Grant No. cstc2011jjA00026) and the Scientific research project of CQUT (Grant No. 01-60-37).

We then consider the Chern-Simons transgressed term of the Chern and Cartan connections. In this way, we obtain a non-Riemannian geometric invariant of a Finsler manifold. In the case of dimension 2, the explicit formula of the Chern-Simons invariant is given. Inspired by this formula, we introduce a Chern-Simons type form of (M, F). We will show that the corresponding class is similar in behavior to the Godbillon-Vey class in foliation theory, but it is a non-Riemannian geometric class.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall the definition of the Bott connection for foliation. In Section 2, we give a review of some important facts in Finsler geometry. In Section 3, we examine the relations between the Bott, Chern and Cartan connections following Liu and Zhang [5]. In Section 4, we define a non-Riemannian geometric invariant for Finsler manifolds.

Acknowledgements. The first author would like to thank Professor Weiping Zhang for his consistent support and encouragement. The authors thank Professors Kefeng Liu and Weiping Zhang for their many helpful suggestions in preparing this paper.

1. ADIABATIC LIMIT AND BOTT CONNECTION

In this section, we will recall the definition of the Bott connection and related topics. For more details one can consult with [5] and [8].

Let M be a manifold of dimension n. Let TM be the tangent bundle of M. If $\mathcal{F} \subset TM$ is an integrable subbundle of TM, then M is called a foliation foliated by $\mathcal{F}$. Let g^{TM} be a Riemannian metric on TM. Let $\nabla^{g^{TM}}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection of g^{TM}. Then TM admits an orthogonal decomposition

$$TM = \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}^{\perp},$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to g^{TM}.

Let $g^{\mathcal{F}}$, $g^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ be the restriction of g^{TM} on $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ respectively. Let p, $p^{\perp}$ denote the orthogonal projection from TM to $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ respectively. Set

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} = p\nabla^{g^{TM}}p, \quad \nabla^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}} = p^{\perp}\nabla^{g^{TM}}p^{\perp}.$$

Then $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$, $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ are metric-preserving connections of $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ respectively.

Now we review the definition of the Bott connection $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$.

Definition 1. *For any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}^{\perp})$,*
(i) If $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$, set $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_X U = p^{\perp}[X, U]$;
(ii) If $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}^{\perp})$, set $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_X U = \nabla^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_X U$.

The Bott connection has the following important property.

Proposition 1. *For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$, one has*

$$\widetilde{R}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}(X, Y) = 0,$$

where $\widetilde{R}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ denotes the curvature of $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$.

By using this connection, Bott proved his remarkable vanishing theorem of some Pontrjagin characteristic classes. For details, we refer to [8, Sect. 1.7].

In general, the Bott connection $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$ is not a metric-preserving connection of $g^{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$. One defines the dual connection $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^\perp,*}$ of the Bott connection as follows,

$$d\langle U, V\rangle = \langle \widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^\perp} U, V\rangle + \langle U, \widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^\perp,*} V\rangle.$$

Following Bismut and Zhang [2], set $\omega^{\mathcal{F}^\perp} = \widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^\perp,*} - \widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$ and $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^\perp} = \widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^\perp} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$. We call $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$ the metric-preserving connection associated to the Bott connection.

Let $g^{TM,\epsilon}$ be a metric of M defined by

$$g^{TM,\epsilon} = g^{\mathcal{F}} \oplus \frac{1}{\epsilon} g^{\mathcal{F}^\perp},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is any positive number. Let $\nabla^{g^{TM,\epsilon}}$ be the corresponding Levi-Civita connection.

The process of taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ is called taking the adiabatic limit. By computing the adiabatic limit, Liu and Zhang [5] proved the following important result which establishes the relationships between the Bott connection, its symmetrization and the Levi-Civita connection of g^{TM}.

Proposition 2. *Let* $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}^\perp,\epsilon} = p^\perp \nabla^{g^{TM,\epsilon}} p^\perp$*, then*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \nabla^{\mathcal{F}^\perp,\epsilon} = \widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^\perp}.$$

2. Finsler manifolds and related structures

In this section we give a review of some important facts in Finsler geometry which will be used in this paper.

Let M be an n dimensional smooth manifold. Let $\pi : TM \to M$ be the tangent bundle of M. Let $(U; x = (x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^n))$ be a local coordinate system on an open subset U of M. Then by the standard procedure one gets a local coordinate system $(x, y) = (x^1, \ldots, x^n, y^1, \ldots, y^n)$ on $\pi^{-1}(U)$. In this way, one gets a smooth manifold structure on TM. Set $TM_0 = TM \setminus 0$, where 0 denotes the zero section of TM. Then (x, y) with $y \neq 0$ is a local coordinate system on TM_0.

Definition 2. *A Finsler structure on* M *is a function* $F : TM_0 \to \mathbb{R}^+$*, which satisfies the following three conditions:*

(i) F *is smooth on* TM_0*;*

(ii) $F(x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$, $\forall (x, y) \in TM_0$, *and* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$*;*

(iii) The $n \times n$ *Hessian matrix*

$$(g_{ij}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\left[F^2\right]_{y^i y^j}\right)$$

is positive-definite at every point of TM_0*. A manifold* M *with a Finsler structure* F *is called a Finsler manifold, and denoted by* (M, F)*.*

In this paper, lower case Latin indices will run from 1 to n and lower case Greek indices will run from 1 to $n-1$. We also adopt the summation convention of Einstein.

Using the Finsler structure F of a Finsler manifold (M, F), one can compute the energy variation of curves in M. The following important data in Finsler geometry appears naturally in this process:

$$G^i = \frac{1}{4} g^{ij} \left(\left[F^2\right]_{y^j x^k} y^k - \left[F^2\right]_{x^j} \right), \tag{2.1}$$

where $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$. Set

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial G^j}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta y^i} := F \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}.$$

Clearly, the vectors

$$\left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^1}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^2}, \ldots, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^n}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^1}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^2}, \ldots, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^n} \right\} \tag{2.2}$$

form a local tangent frame of TM_0.

A direct computation shows that $\left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^1}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^2}, \ldots, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^n} \right\}$ and $\left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta y^1}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^2}, \ldots, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^n} \right\}$ have the same behavior under the local coordinate transformations on TM_0. By this reason, there is a well-defined linear map $J : T(TM_0) \to T(TM_0)$ by setting

$$J\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right) = -\frac{\delta}{\delta y^i}, \quad J\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^i}\right) = \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}.$$

Actually, the linear map J equips TM_0 with an almost complex structure. Let

$$\left\{ \delta x^1, \delta x^2, \ldots, \delta x^n, \delta y^1, \delta y^2, \ldots, \delta y^n \right\}$$

be the dual frame of (2.2). It is clear that

$$\delta x^i = dx^i, \quad \delta y^i = \frac{1}{F} \left(dy^i + \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j} dx^j \right),$$

and the dual map J^* of J verifies that

$$J^*(\delta x^i) = \delta y^i, \quad J^*(\delta y^i) = -\delta x^i.$$

Note that the most important geometric data in Finsler geometry are 0-order positive homogenous in y. This naturally leads us to study the projective sphere bundle $SM = TM_0/\mathbb{R}^+$ over M. We still use $\pi : SM \to M$ to denote the bundle map. Now the fundamental tensor $g = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$ makes the pull back bundle π^*TM to be an Euclidean vector bundle over SM. Note that π^*TM admits a distinguished global section $l : SM \to \pi^*TM$ which is defined by

$$l(x, [y]) = \left(x, [y], \frac{1}{F(x, y)} y \right). \tag{2.3}$$

For any local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of (π^*TM, g) with $e_n = l$, let $\{\omega^1, \cdots, \omega^n\}$ be the dual frame. Clearly, ω^i are local sections of $\pi^*T^*M \subset T^*SM \subset T^*TM_0$. In particular, the one form ω^n is globally defined and is exactly the Hilbert form. Moreover, $\omega^n = F_{y^i} \delta x^i$.

Then one defines

$$\omega^{n+i} = J^*(\omega^i).$$

It is obvious that $\omega^{2n} = J^*(\omega^n) = F_{y^i}\delta y^i$.

One verifies easily that the forms $\omega^{n+\alpha}$ are in fact the forms on SM. Clearly,

$$\theta = \left\{\omega^1, \omega^2, \ldots, \omega^n, \omega^{n+1}, \omega^{n+2}, \ldots, \omega^{2n-1}\right\} \tag{2.4}$$

is a local coframe of SM. We will use

$$\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_{n+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{2n-1}\} \tag{2.5}$$

to denote the dual frame of θ. Now

$$g^{T(SM)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega^i \otimes \omega^i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \omega^{n+\alpha} \otimes \omega^{n+\alpha} \tag{2.6}$$

gives a well-defined Riemannian metric on SM by the definitions of ω^i and $\omega^{n+\alpha}$. Moreover, the tensor $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega^i \otimes \omega^i$ is well-defined on SM and

$$g = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{ij} \delta x^i \otimes \delta x^j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega^i \otimes \omega^i \quad \text{on} \quad SM. \tag{2.7}$$

We denote that

$$V(SM) = \{\omega^i = 0 | i = 1, \ldots, n\}$$

and

$$H(SM) = \{\omega^{n+\alpha} = 0 | \alpha = 1, \ldots, n-1\}.$$

$V(SM)$ and $H(SM)$ are called the vertical and horizontal subbundles of SM induced by F, respectively.

Remark 1. *The restriction of $g^{T(SM)}$ gives an Euclidean metric on $H(SM)$, and which is isomorphic to π^*TM as Euclidean bundle isomorphism. In fact this isomorphism is given by the map $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \to \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}$. Also note that under this isomorphism, the distinguished section $l = e_n = \frac{y^i}{F}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ defined by (2.3) turns out to be the geodesic vector field $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{e}_n = \frac{y^i}{F}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}$ of (M, F) on SM.*

Remark 2. *The vertical subbundle $V(SM)$ is integrable. So SM is naturally a foliation foliated by $V(SM)$. Actually, $\pi : SM \to M$ is a fibration over M with the fibres of projective spheres of dimension $n-1$.*

In the following we will present a direct proof of the following fundamental formula in Finsler geometry.

Lemma 1. *The exterior differential of Hilbert form is given by*

$$d\omega^n = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \omega^\alpha \wedge \omega^{n+\alpha}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Write $\omega^j = v_i^j \delta x^i$. Then one has that $v_i^n = F_{y^i}$, $\omega^{n+\alpha} = J^*(v_i^\alpha \delta x^i) = v_i^\alpha \delta y^i$ and $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = v_i^j \mathbf{e}_j$. Hence

$$g_{ij} = g^{T(SM)}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right) = v_i^k v_j^l \delta_{kl}.$$

By Definition 2, one sees that $g_{ij} = FF_{y^iy^j} + F_{y^i}F_{y^j}$, from which one gets easily that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} v_i^\alpha v_j^\alpha = FF_{y^iy^j}$ and $FF_{y^i}g^{ij} = y^j$.

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d\omega^n = d(F_{y^i}\delta x^i) &= F_{y^ix^j}\delta x^j \wedge \delta x^i + F_{y^iy^j}dy^j \wedge \delta x^i \\
&= FF_{y^iy^j}\delta y^j \wedge \delta x^i + (F_{y^ix^j} - \frac{\partial G^k}{\partial y^j}F_{y^iy^k})\delta x^j \wedge \delta x^i \\
&= FF_{y^iy^j}\delta y^j \wedge \delta x^i + (\frac{\delta F}{\delta x^j})_{y^i}\delta x^j \wedge \delta x^i + \frac{\partial^2 G^k}{\partial y^j \partial y^i}F_{y^k}\delta x^j \wedge \delta x^i.
\end{aligned}$$

By the above results, the first term $FF_{y^iy^j}\delta y^j \wedge \delta x^i = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\omega^\alpha \wedge \omega^{n+\alpha}$. Since $\frac{\partial^2 G^k}{\partial y^j \partial y^i} = \frac{\partial^2 G^k}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$, the last term $\frac{\partial^2 G^k}{\partial y^j \partial y^i}F_{y^k}\delta x^j \wedge \delta x^i$ is identically zero. So one only needs to show the following well known result (cf. [1]),

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta x^j} = F_{x^j} - \frac{\partial G^k}{\partial y^j}F_{y^k} = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

For the completeness of this paper, we include a proof of (2.9) here. If one denotes that

$$G_i = \frac{1}{4}\left(\left[F^2\right]_{y^ix^k} y^k - \left[F^2\right]_{x^i}\right),$$

then $G^i = g^{ij}G_j$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{y^k}\frac{\partial G^k}{\partial y^j} &= F_{y^k}\frac{\partial g^{kl}}{\partial y^j}G_l + F_{y^k}g^{kl}\frac{\partial G_l}{\partial y^j} \\
&= -F_{y^k}g^{kp}g^{ql}\frac{\partial g_{pq}}{\partial y^j}G_l + \frac{y^l}{F}\frac{\partial G_l}{\partial y^j} \\
&= -\frac{1}{F}g^{ql}G_l\left[\frac{1}{2}F^2\right]_{qjp} y^p + \frac{y^l}{4F}\left(\left[F^2\right]_{y^lx^ky^j} y^k + \left[F^2\right]_{y^lx^j} - \left[F^2\right]_{x^ly^j}\right) \\
&= F_{x^j}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Euler lemma for homogeneous functions has been used for the last equation. □

Lemma 2. *The Lie derivative of the tensor* $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega^i \otimes \omega^i$ *along the geodesic vector field* $\mathbf{G} = \frac{y^i}{F}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}$ *is given by*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{G}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega^i \otimes \omega^i\right) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\left(\omega^\alpha \otimes \omega^{n+\alpha} + \omega^{n+\alpha} \otimes \omega^\alpha\right). \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Firstly one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G}(g_{ij}) &= \frac{y^k}{F}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}\left(\frac{1}{2}[F^2]_{y^iy^j}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{y^k}{F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}[F^2]_{y^iy^j} - \frac{\partial G^l}{\partial y^k}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}[F^2]_{y^iy^j}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{y^k}{F}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}[F^2] - \frac{\partial G^l}{\partial y^k}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}[F^2]\right)_{y^iy^j}\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\frac{y^k}{F}\left(\frac{\partial^3 G^l}{\partial y^k\partial y^i\partial y^j}[F^2]_{y^l} + \frac{\partial^2 G^l}{\partial y^k\partial y^i}[F^2]_{y^ly^j} + \frac{\partial^2 G^l}{\partial y^k\partial y^j}[F^2]_{y^ly^i}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{y^k}{F}\left(\frac{\delta[F]^2}{\delta x^k}\right)_{y^iy^j} + \frac{1}{F}\left(g_{lj}\frac{\partial G^l}{\partial y^i} + g_{li}\frac{\partial G^l}{\partial y^j}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{F}\left(g_{lj}\frac{\partial G^l}{\partial y^i} + g_{li}\frac{\partial G^l}{\partial y^j}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.7) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{G}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega^i\otimes\omega^i\right) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{G}}(g_{ij}dx^i\otimes dx^j)\\
&= \mathbf{G}(g_{ij})dx^i\otimes dx^j + g_{ij}\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{G}}(dx^i)\otimes dx^j + g_{ij}dx^i\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{G}}(dx^j)\\
&= \frac{1}{F}\left(g_{lj}\frac{\partial G^l}{\partial y^i} + g_{li}\frac{\partial G^l}{\partial y^j}\right)dx^i\otimes dx^j\\
&\quad + g_{ij}\frac{dy^i}{F}\otimes dx^j - g_{ij}\frac{y^i}{F}d\log F\otimes dx^j + g_{ij}dx^i\otimes\frac{dy^j}{F} - g_{ij}dx^i\otimes\frac{y^j}{F}d\log F\\
&= g_{ij}\delta y^i\otimes dx^j + g_{ij}dx^i\otimes\delta y^j - d\log F\otimes F_{y^j}dx^j - F_{y^i}dx^i\otimes d\log F\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^i\otimes\omega^{n+i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{n+i}\otimes\omega^i - d\log F\otimes\omega^n - \omega^n\otimes d\log F\\
&= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\left(\omega^\alpha\otimes\omega^{n+\alpha} + \omega^{n+\alpha}\otimes\omega^\alpha\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equation comes from that $\omega^{2n} = F_{y^i}\delta y^i = d\log F$, a direct corollary of (2.9). □

Remark 3. *We denote the Hilbert form as* $\omega = \omega^n$. *From Lemma 1, one easily checks that* $\omega\wedge(d\omega)^{n-1}\neq 0$. *So* ω *is a contact form of* SM. (SM,ω) *is naturally a contact manifold.*

Remark 4. *Set* $\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^i\wedge\omega^{n+i}$. *Then* Ω *gives a symplectic structure of* TM_0. *It is easily checked that the almost complex structure* J *of* TM_0 *is compatible with the symplectic structure* Ω. *Then one has a Sasaki-type Riemannian metric on* TM_0 *defined by*

$$g^{T(TM_0)}(X,Y) = \Omega(JX,Y).$$

3. The relations of some connections related to a Finsler manifold

3.1. **The Levi-Civita connection of** $(SM, g^{T(SM)})$**.** Let $\nabla^{T(SM)}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection of $(SM, g^{T(SM)})$. Under the specially chosen local orthonormal frame (2.5), the connection matrix $\Theta = (\Theta_A^B)$ of $\nabla^{g^{T(SM)}}$ are uniquely determined by the following Cartan structure equations

$$\begin{cases} d\theta = \theta \wedge \Theta \\ \Theta + \Theta^t = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where the indices $A, B = 1, \ldots, 2n-1$. The following result is well-known for Finsler geometers. However, we prefer to give a direct computation by a different philosophy (comparing with [6]).

Lemma 3. *Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold. Let $(SM, g^{T(SM)})$ be the projective sphere bundle with the Sasaki-type metric. Under the local orthnormal frame (2.5), the connection matrix $\Theta = (\Theta_A^B)$ of the Levi-Civita connection of $(SM, g^{T(SM)})$ are*

$$\Theta = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_j^i + \left(H_{ij\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}R_{n\ ij}^{\ \gamma}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma} & \left(\frac{1}{2}R_{n\ ij}^{\ \alpha} - H_{ij\alpha}\right)\omega^j + \frac{1}{2}\left(P_{n\ i\gamma}^{\ \alpha} + P_{n\ i\alpha}^{\ \gamma}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma} \\ \left(H_{ij\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}R_{n\ ij}^{\ \alpha}\right)\omega^j - \frac{1}{2}\left(P_{n\ i\gamma}^{\ \alpha} + P_{n\ i\alpha}^{\ \gamma}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma} & \omega_\beta^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\left(-P_{n\ j\beta}^{\ \alpha} + P_{n\ j\alpha}^{\ \beta}\right)\omega^j + H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\omega^{n+\gamma} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the corresponding forms and functions appearing in the formula of Θ will be defined in the proof.

Proof. The proof will be separated to two steps. Firstly, we try to find some matrix Ξ of one forms to adapt the equation

$$d\theta = \theta \wedge \Xi.$$

Then the formula of Θ will be a consequence of the Cartan lemma. It should be pointed that the choice of Ξ in the first step is not unique.

For convenience, we denote $\vartheta = (\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^n)$. Since $V(SM)$ is integrable, by the Frobenius theorem, one has

$$d\vartheta \equiv 0 \pmod{\vartheta}.$$

In other words, there exists a matrix $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = (\widetilde{\omega}_j^i)$ of (local) one forms $\widetilde{\omega}_j^i$ on SM verifing the following equations

$$d\vartheta = \vartheta \wedge \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \tag{3.2}$$

or equivalently,

$$d\omega^\alpha = \omega^j \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_j^\alpha = \omega^\beta \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_\beta^\alpha + \omega^n \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_n^\alpha. \tag{3.3}$$

Here in (3.3) we chose $\widetilde{\omega}_i^n$ as

$$\widetilde{\omega}_\beta^n = -\omega^{n+\beta}, \quad \widetilde{\omega}_n^n = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

to guarantee Lemma 1 and

$$\widetilde{\omega}_n^\alpha = C_{n\gamma}^\alpha \omega^{n+\gamma}. \tag{3.5}$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\left(\omega^\alpha\otimes\omega^{n+\alpha}+\omega^{n+\alpha}\otimes\omega^\alpha\right)=\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e}_n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\omega^i\otimes\omega^i\right)\\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{n}((i_{\mathbf{e}_n}d\omega^i)\otimes\omega^i+\omega^i\otimes(i_{\mathbf{e}_n}d\omega^i))=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}((i_{\mathbf{e}_n}d\omega^\alpha)\otimes\omega^\alpha+\omega^\alpha\otimes(i_{\mathbf{e}_n}d\omega^\alpha))\\ = & i_{\mathbf{e}_n}(\omega^\beta\wedge\widetilde{\omega}^\alpha_\beta)\otimes\omega^\alpha+\omega^\alpha\otimes i_{\mathbf{e}_n}(\omega^\beta\wedge\widetilde{\omega}^\alpha_\beta)+i_{\mathbf{e}_n}(\omega^n\wedge\widetilde{\omega}^\alpha_n)\otimes\omega^\alpha+\omega^\alpha\otimes i_{\mathbf{e}_n}(\omega^n\wedge\widetilde{\omega}^\alpha_n)\\ = & -\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n-1}(\widetilde{\omega}^\alpha_\beta(\mathbf{e}_n)+\widetilde{\omega}^\beta_\alpha(\mathbf{e}_n))\omega^\alpha\otimes\omega^\beta+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}(\omega^\alpha\otimes\widetilde{\omega}^\alpha_n+\widetilde{\omega}^\alpha_n\otimes\omega^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining with (3.5) we obtain that

$$(\widetilde{\omega}^\beta_\alpha+\widetilde{\omega}^\alpha_\beta)(\mathbf{e}_n)=0,\quad \widetilde{\omega}^\alpha_n=\omega^{n+\alpha}. \tag{3.6}$$

Now we can write $\widetilde{\omega}^i_j+\widetilde{\omega}^j_i$ as

$$\widetilde{\omega}^i_j+\widetilde{\omega}^j_i=G_{ijk}\omega^k-2H_{ij\gamma}\omega^{n+\gamma}. \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.4)-(3.7), one knows that $G_{ijk}, H_{ij\gamma}$ are symmetric about i,j and $G_{ijk}=H_{ij\gamma}=0$ if one of the indices i,j,k takes the value n.

Now we set

$$\omega^i_j=\widetilde{\omega}^i_j-\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{ijk}+G_{kij}-G_{jki}\right)\omega^k, \tag{3.8}$$

and denote $\boldsymbol{\omega}=(\omega^i_j)$. It is easy to check that

$$\omega^i_j+\omega^j_i=-2H_{ij\gamma}\omega^{n+\gamma}. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $-\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{ijk}+G_{kij}-G_{jki}\right)$ is symmetric about j,k, one still has

$$d\vartheta=\vartheta\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}. \tag{3.10}$$

Here one should also note that

$$\omega^\alpha_n=-\omega^n_\alpha=\omega^{n+\alpha},\quad \omega^n_n=0. \tag{3.11}$$

Differentiating (3.10), one has

$$\vartheta\wedge\Omega=0,\quad \text{where}\quad \Omega=d\boldsymbol{\omega}-\boldsymbol{\omega}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}. \tag{3.12}$$

By (3.12), the terms $\omega^{n+\alpha}\wedge\omega^{n+\beta}$ will not appear in Ω. So we can write the terms Ω^α_n as follows:

$$\Omega^\alpha_n=\frac{1}{2}R_{n\ ij}^{\ \alpha}\omega^i\wedge\omega^j+P_{n\ i\gamma}^{\ \alpha}\omega^i\wedge\omega^{n+\gamma}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $R_{n\ ij}^{\ \alpha}=-R_{n\ ji}^{\ \alpha}$.

By (3.11)-(3.13), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega^{n+\alpha} &= d\omega_n^\alpha = \Omega_n^\alpha + \omega_n^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^\alpha \\ &= \omega^i \wedge \left(\frac{1}{2} R_n{}^\alpha{}_{ij} \omega^j + P_n{}^\alpha{}_{i\gamma} \omega^{n+\gamma} \right) + \omega^{n+\beta} \wedge \omega_\beta^\alpha . \end{aligned}$$

Now the following matrix of one forms

$$\Xi := \begin{bmatrix} \omega_j^i & \frac{1}{2} R_n{}^\alpha{}_{ij} \omega^j + P_n{}^\alpha{}_{i\gamma} \omega^{n+\gamma} \\ \mathbf{0} & \omega_\beta^\alpha \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.14}$$

verifies that

$$d\theta = \theta \wedge \Xi,$$

and this completes the first step of the proof.

Now instead to determine Θ, we try to find $\Phi := \Theta - \Xi$ firstly. Clearly one has

$$\theta \wedge \Phi = \theta \wedge (\Theta - \Xi) = 0.$$

Write that

$$\Phi_B^A = \phi_{BC}^A \omega^C, \tag{3.15}$$

where $\phi_{BC}^A = \phi_{CB}^A$ and $A, B, C = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1$.

Let $\Psi = \Phi + \Phi^t$. Then the coefficients of $\Psi_B^A = \psi_{BC}^A \omega^C$ are

$$\psi_{BC}^A := \phi_{BC}^A + \phi_{AC}^B .$$

By rearrangement the indices, we have

$$\psi_{CA}^B = \phi_{CA}^B + \phi_{BA}^C, \quad \psi_{AB}^C = \phi_{AB}^C + \phi_{CB}^A,$$

and so

$$\phi_{BC}^A = \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{BC}^A + \psi_{AB}^C - \psi_{CA}^B \right) . \tag{3.16}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \Phi + \Phi^t = -(\Xi + \Xi^t) \\ &= - \begin{bmatrix} \omega_j^i + \omega_i^j & \frac{1}{2} R_n{}^\alpha{}_{ij} \omega^j + P_n{}^\alpha{}_{i\gamma} \omega^{n+\gamma} \\ \frac{1}{2} R_n{}^\alpha{}_{ij} \omega^j + P_n{}^\alpha{}_{i\gamma} \omega^{n+\gamma} & \omega_\beta^\alpha + \omega_\alpha^\beta \end{bmatrix} \\ &= - \begin{bmatrix} -2H_{ij\gamma} \omega^{n+\gamma} & \frac{1}{2} R_n{}^\alpha{}_{ij} \omega^j + P_n{}^\alpha{}_{i\gamma} \omega^{n+\gamma} \\ \frac{1}{2} R_n{}^\alpha{}_{ij} \omega^j + P_n{}^\alpha{}_{i\gamma} \omega^{n+\gamma} & -2H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \omega^{n+\gamma} \end{bmatrix} . \end{aligned}$$

By (3.16) and the total symmetry of $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ about α, β, γ (to be proved in Lemma 4), we get

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \left(H_{ij\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} R_n{}^\gamma{}_{ij} \right) \omega^{n+\gamma} & -H_{ij\alpha} \omega^j + \frac{1}{2} \left(-P_n{}^\alpha{}_{i\gamma} + P_n{}^\gamma{}_{i\alpha} \right) \omega^{n+\gamma} \\ \left(H_{ij\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} R_n{}^\alpha{}_{ij} \right) \omega^j - \frac{1}{2} \left(P_n{}^\alpha{}_{i\gamma} + P_n{}^\gamma{}_{i\alpha} \right) \omega^{n+\gamma} & \frac{1}{2} \left(-P_n{}^\alpha{}_{j\beta} + P_n{}^\beta{}_{j\alpha} \right) \omega^j + H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \omega^{n+\gamma} \end{bmatrix} . \tag{3.17}$$

Hence, with respect to the orthnormal frame (2.5), the connection matrix Θ of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{T(SM)}$ is

(3.18)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega^i_j+\left(H_{ij\gamma}-\frac{1}{2}R_{n\ \ ij}^{\ \gamma}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma} & \left(\frac{1}{2}R_{n\ \ ij}^{\ \alpha}-H_{ij\alpha}\right)\omega^j+\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{n\ \ i\gamma}^{\ \alpha}+P_{n\ \ i\alpha}^{\ \gamma}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma} \\ \left(H_{ij\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}R_{n\ \ ij}^{\ \alpha}\right)\omega^j-\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{n\ \ i\gamma}^{\ \alpha}+P_{n\ \ i\alpha}^{\ \gamma}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma} & \omega^\alpha_\beta+\frac{1}{2}\left(-P_{n\ \ j\beta}^{\ \alpha}+P_{n\ \ j\alpha}^{\ \beta}\right)\omega^j+H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\omega^{n+\gamma} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This ends the proof. ☐

Lemma 4. *The quantities $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ defined by (3.9) are symmetric about the indices $\alpha,\beta,\gamma=1,2,\cdots,n-1$.*

Proof. By (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -2H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= (\omega^\alpha_\beta+\omega^\beta_\alpha)(\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}) = d\omega^\alpha(\mathbf{e}_\beta,\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma})+d\omega^\beta(\mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}) \\ &= -\omega^\alpha([\mathbf{e}_\beta,\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}])-\omega^\beta([\mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}]) = -\langle\mathbf{e}_\alpha,[\mathbf{e}_\beta,\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}]\rangle-\langle\mathbf{e}_\beta,[\mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}]\rangle \\ &= \langle\mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}}\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle+\langle\mathbf{e}_\beta,\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}}\mathbf{e}_\alpha\rangle \\ &= \mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}\langle\mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle-\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}}(\sum_{k=1}^n\omega^k\otimes\omega^k)(\mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta)-\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}}(\sum_\alpha\omega^{n+\alpha}\otimes\omega^{n+\alpha})(\mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta) \\ &= -\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}}(\sum_{k=1}^n\omega^k\otimes\omega^k)(\mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Now write that $\mathbf{e}_\alpha=u^k_\alpha\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}$, $\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}=u^k_\gamma\frac{\delta}{\delta y^k}=Fu^k_\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$. By (2.7), one then has

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}}\sum_{k=1}^n\omega^k\otimes\omega^k=\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}}(g_{ij}dx^i\otimes dx^j) \\ &=Fu^k_\gamma\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}dx^i\otimes dx^j+g_{ij}d\left(i_{\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}}dx^i\right)\otimes dx^j+g_{ij}dx^i\otimes d\left(i_{\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}}dx^j\right) \\ &=Fu^k_\gamma\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}\delta x^i\otimes\delta x^j, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}}\sum_{k=1}^n\omega^k\otimes\omega^k\right)(\mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta)=\frac{1}{4}F[F^2]_{y^iy^jy^k}u^i_\alpha u^j_\beta u^k_\gamma.$$

From the above formula we conclude that

$$H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}=H_{\beta\gamma\alpha}=H_{\gamma\alpha\beta}. \tag{3.19}$$

One should also note that $H_{ij\gamma}=H_{ji\gamma}=0$ if $i=n$ by the proof of Lemma 3. ☐

Remark 5. *Traditionally, the Cartan tensor is defined as $\mathbf{A}=A_{ijk}dx^i\otimes dx^j\otimes dx^k$, where $A_{ijk}=\frac{1}{4}F[F^2]_{y^iy^jy^k}$. Clearly, one has $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}=u^i_\alpha u^j_\beta u^k_\gamma A_{ijk}$. We will call the matrix $H=(H_{ij\gamma}\omega^{n+\gamma})$ of one forms the Cartan-type tensor for the Finsler manifold (M,F).*

Remark 6. *Actually, one can show that* ${P_n}^{\alpha}_{\ i\beta} = {P_n}^{\beta}_{\ i\alpha}$ *(cf.* [1]*). From this fact the connection matrix* Θ *will have a simpler expression, but it will not be needed in this paper.*

3.2. **The Bott connection in Finsler geometry.** Set $\mathcal{F} = V(SM)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\perp} = H(SM)$. Since SM is a foliation foliated by $\mathcal{F}$, then as in Section 1, we have the Bott connection $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ and the associated metric-preserving connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$.

Theorem 1. *The Bott connection is exactly the Chern connection, while the metric-preserving connection associated to the Bott connection is equal to the Cartan connection.*

Proof. Firstly, we determine the connection matrix of the Bott connection with respect to the orthnormal frame (2.5). For any $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}^{\perp})$, write $U = U^i\mathbf{e}_i$.

If $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_X U &= p^{\perp}[X,U] = p^{\perp}\left(\nabla^{T(SM)}_X U - \nabla^{T(SM)}_U X\right)\\
&= \left\langle \nabla^{T(SM)}_X U - \nabla^{T(SM)}_U X, \mathbf{e}_i\right\rangle \mathbf{e}_i\\
&= \left(X(U^i)\mathbf{e}_i + U^i\Theta^j_i(X)\mathbf{e}_j\right) + \left\langle X, \nabla^{g^{T(SM)}}_U \mathbf{e}_i\right\rangle \mathbf{e}_i\\
&= \left(X(U^i)\mathbf{e}_i + U^i\omega^j_i(X)\mathbf{e}_j\right) + U^i\left(H_{ji\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}{R_n}^{\gamma}_{\ ji}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma}(X)\mathbf{e}_j\\
&+ U^j\left\langle X, \Theta^A_i(\mathbf{e}_j)\mathbf{e}_A\right\rangle \mathbf{e}_i\\
&= \left(X(U^i)\mathbf{e}_i + U^i\omega^j_i(X)\mathbf{e}_j\right) + U^i\left(H_{ji\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}{R_n}^{\gamma}_{\ ji}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma}(X)\mathbf{e}_j\\
&+ U^j\left(\frac{1}{2}{R_n}^{\gamma}_{\ ij} - H_{ij\gamma}\right)\left\langle X, \mathbf{e}_{n+\gamma}\right\rangle \mathbf{e}_i\\
&= X(U^i)\mathbf{e}_i + U^i\omega^j_i(X)\mathbf{e}_j.
\end{aligned}$$

If $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}^{\perp})$, by (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_X U &= \widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_X (U^i\mathbf{e}_i)\\
&= p^{\perp}\left(X(U^i)\mathbf{e}_i + U^i\Theta^A_i(X)\mathbf{e}_A\right)\\
&= X(U^i)\mathbf{e}_i + U^i\left(\omega^j_i + \left(H_{ji\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}{R_n}^{\gamma}_{\ ji}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma}\right)(X)\mathbf{e}_j\\
&= X(U^i)\mathbf{e}_i + U^i\omega^j_i(X)\mathbf{e}_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the connection matrix of the Bott connection is $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega^i_j)$.

On the other hand, from the proof of Theorem 1 one has seen that $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega^i_j)$ verifies the following equations

$$\begin{cases} d\vartheta = \vartheta \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{\omega}^t = -2H, \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

which are exactly the conditions to determine the Chern connection (cf. [1], [6]).

Clearly, now the metric-preserving connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ has the connection form

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \boldsymbol{\omega} + H, \tag{3.21}$$

and from which one sees that $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ is equal to the Cartan connection in literatures on Finsler geometry. □

Remark 7. *By Theorem 1, one sees that* Ω *defined by (3.12) is exactly the curvature matrix of the Chern or Bott connection* $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ *, which contains no vertical or leaf-directional differential forms and so matches with Proposition 1 in Section 1. Also from (3.13),* Ω *is divided into two parts, in which the* R*-part and the* P*-part are called the Riemannian and the Minkowski curvature of a Finsler manifold* (M,F)*, respectively. A Finsler manifold with the vanishing Minkowski curvature is called a Berwald space.*

Remark 8. *From Theorem 1, the Cartan-type tensor* H *is actually a* $\Omega^1(SM)$*-valued endomorphism of the horizontal bundle* $H(SM)$ *over* $(SM, g^{T(SM)})$*. We will call the one form* $\eta = \mathrm{tr}[H] \in \Omega^1(SM)$ *the Cartan-type form for the Finsler manifold* (M,F)*.*

3.3. An explanation of the Cartan connection via adiabatic limit.

Now we consider the rescaled metrics on SM with $\epsilon > 0$,

$$g^{T(SM),\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega^i \otimes \omega^i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \omega^{n+\alpha} \otimes \omega^{n+\alpha}. \tag{3.22}$$

It is obvious that the distributions $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ are still orthogonal to each other under the rescaled metrics $g^{T(SM),\epsilon}$. Let $\nabla^{T(SM),\epsilon}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $g^{T(SM),\epsilon}$ and $\nabla^{F^{\perp},\epsilon} = p^{\perp}\nabla^{T(SM),\epsilon}p^{\perp}$.

Following Liu-Zhang (cf. [5], [8]), we give a direct computation of $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ by the technique of the adiabatic limit.

Now with respect to the rescaled metrics $g^{T(SM),\epsilon}$, the local orthnormal frame (2.5) and coframe (2.4) turn out to be

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^{\epsilon} &= (\omega^{1,\epsilon}, \ldots, \omega^{n,\epsilon}, \omega^{n+1,\epsilon}, \ldots, \omega^{2n-1,\epsilon}) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\omega^1, \ldots, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\omega^n, \omega^{n+1}, \ldots, \omega^{2n-1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{e}_{\epsilon} &= (\mathbf{e}_{1,\epsilon}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n,\epsilon}, \mathbf{e}_{n+1,\epsilon}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{2n-1,\epsilon}) \\ &= (\epsilon\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \epsilon\mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_{n+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{2n-1}),\end{aligned}$$

respectively.

By the proof of Lemma 3 and the definitions of curvature terms Ω, R and P in (3.12), (3.13), the connection forms of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{T(SM),\epsilon}$ are

$$\Theta^{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega^i_j + \left(H_{ij\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}R_{n\ \ ij}^{\ \gamma}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma} & \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}R_{n\ \ ij}^{\ \alpha} - H_{ij\alpha}\right)\omega^j + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\left(P_{n\ \ i\gamma}^{\ \alpha} + P_{n\ \ i\alpha}^{\ \gamma}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma} \\ \left(H_{ij\alpha} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}R_{n\ \ ij}^{\ \alpha}\right)\omega^j - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\left(P_{n\ \ i\gamma}^{\ \alpha} + P_{n\ \ i\alpha}^{\ \gamma}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma} & \omega^{\alpha}_{\beta} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\left(-P_{n\ \ j\beta}^{\ \alpha} + P_{n\ \ j\alpha}^{\ \beta}\right)\omega^j + H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\omega^{n+\gamma} \end{bmatrix}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\nabla^{F^{\perp},\epsilon}\mathbf{e}_i &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\nabla^{F^{\perp},\epsilon}\mathbf{e}_{i,\epsilon}\\ &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Theta_i^{j,\epsilon}\mathbf{e}_{j,\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Theta_i^{j,\epsilon}\mathbf{e}_j\\ &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(\omega_j^i + \left(H_{ij\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}R_{n\ ij}^{\ \gamma}\right)\omega^{n+\gamma}\right)\mathbf{e}_j\\ &= \left(\omega_j^i + H_{ij\gamma}\omega^{n+\gamma}\right)\mathbf{e}_j.\end{aligned}$$

Now with respect to (2.5), the connection matrix of $\widehat{\nabla}^{F^{\perp}}$ is

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \left(\omega_j^i + H_{ij\gamma}\omega^{n+\gamma}\right),$$

which is just the formula (3.21) and fits exactly with Liu-Zhang's formula in Proposition 2 in Section 1.

Furthermore, by using the technique of the adiabatic limit, we can prove the following property of the Cartan-type tensor H.

Proposition 3. *Let (M,F) be a Finsler manifold. Let $g^{T(SM)}$ be the Sasaki-type Riemannian metric on SM. For any $\sigma\in\pi^*C^\infty(M)$, let $\bar{g}^{T(SM)} = e^{2\sigma}g^{T(SM)}$ and $\bar{H}$ be the associated Cartan-type tensor, then*

$$\bar{H} = H.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} = V(SM)$ and let $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement to $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to $g^{T(SM)}$. Then by Theorem 1, one has that

$$H = \widehat{\nabla}^{F^{\perp}} - \widetilde{\nabla}^{F^{\perp}}.$$

For any $\sigma\in\pi^*C^\infty(M)$, the conformal deformation $\bar{g}^{T(SM)} = e^{2\sigma}g^{T(SM)}$ preserves the decomposition $T(SM) = \mathcal{F}\oplus\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$. Let $\overline{\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}}$ and $\overline{\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}}$ denote the corresponding Bott connection and its associated metric-preserving connection, respectively. Then the corresponding Cartan-type tensor $\bar{H}$ is given by

$$\bar{H} = \overline{\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}} - \overline{\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}}.$$

Consider the rescaled coformal metrics

$$\bar{g}^{T(SM),\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}e^{2\sigma}g^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}} \oplus e^{2\sigma}g^{\mathcal{F}}$$

and the projection connections $\overline{\nabla^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp},\epsilon}}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{\perp,\epsilon}$. It is clear that $\bar{H}(U) = H(U) = 0$ for any $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}^{\perp})$. For any $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$, $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}^{\perp})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \bar{H}(X)U, V\rangle &= \langle \overline{\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_X} U, V\rangle - \langle [X,U], V\rangle \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \langle \overline{\nabla^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp},\epsilon}_X} U, V\rangle - \langle [X,U], V\rangle \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\sigma}\epsilon^2 \left\{ X\langle U, V\rangle_{\sigma,\epsilon} + U\langle X, V\rangle_{\sigma,\epsilon} - V\langle X, U\rangle_{\sigma,\epsilon} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \langle [X,U], V\rangle_{\sigma,\epsilon} - \langle [X,V], U\rangle_{\sigma,\epsilon} - \langle [U,V], X\rangle_{\sigma,\epsilon} \right\} - \langle [X,U], V\rangle \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ X\langle U, V\rangle + 2X(\sigma)\langle U, V\rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \langle [X,U], V\rangle - \langle [X,V], U\rangle - \epsilon^2 \langle [U,V], X\rangle \right\} - \langle [X,U], V\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ X\langle U, V\rangle - \langle [X,U], V\rangle - \langle [X,V], U\rangle \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \langle H(X)U, V\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Geometric classes of Finsler manifolds

4.1. **The Chern-Simons transgressed form.** Let (M, F) be an oriented and closed Finsler manifold of dimension n. Let $(SM, g^{T(SM)})$ be the associated projective sphere bundle with horizontal subbundle $\mathcal{F}^{\perp} = H(SM)$. We now have the Chern connection $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ and the Cartan connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$. Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_t$, $t \in [0,1]$, be a family of connections on $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ defined by

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_t = (1-t)\widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}} + t\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}} = \widetilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}} + tH.$$

Let Ω_t be the curvature of $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_t$. The term

$$-n \int_0^1 \operatorname{tr}\left[H\Omega_t^{n-1} \right] dt \tag{4.1}$$

appears naturally in the transgression formula of the Chern-Weil theorem associated to $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}^{\perp}}_t$. We call it a Chern-Simons form and call the corresponding class in the de Rham cohomology of SM a Chern-Simons secondary class of the Finsler manifold (M, F). From Proposition 3, one sees easily that the Chern-Simons term (4.1) is unchanged under the conformal deformation appearing there.

4.2. **Chern-Simons invariants for Finsler surfaces.** Let (M, F) be a Finsler surface. In this subsection we will still use the same notations appearing Section 2 and 3 for $n = 2$. In this case we have

$$\omega^1_1 + \omega^1_1 = -2H_{111}\omega^3,$$

$$\omega^1_2 = -\omega^2_1 = \omega^3, \quad \omega^2_2 = 0,$$

$$\Omega^1_2 = R^1_{212}\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 + P^1_{211}\omega^1 \wedge \omega^3.$$

As in [1], we denote

$$I := H_{111}, \quad J := -P^1_{211}, \quad K := R^1_{212}.$$

Then we also have

$$\begin{cases} d\omega^1 = -I\omega^1 \wedge \omega^3 + \omega^2 \wedge \omega^3, \\ d\omega^2 = -\omega^1 \wedge \omega^3, \\ d\omega^3 = K\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 - J\omega^1 \wedge \omega^3. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2. *Let (M, F) be an oriented and closed Finsler surface. Then the Chern-Simons form of (M, F) is*

$$-2\int_0^1 \operatorname{tr}[H\Omega_t]\,dt = \eta \wedge d\eta, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\eta = \operatorname{tr}[H] = H_{111}\omega^3 = I\omega^3$ is the Cartan-type form of (M, F).

Proof. Firstly the Chern-Simons invariant is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \operatorname{tr}[H\Omega_t]\,dt &= \int_0^1 \operatorname{tr}\left[H\left(\Omega_0 + t\left[\nabla_0^{\mathcal{F}^\perp}, H\right] + t^2H^2\right)\right]dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \operatorname{tr}\left[H\Omega_0 + tH\left[\nabla_0^{\mathcal{F}^\perp}, H\right] + t^2H^3\right]dt \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left[H\Omega_0 + \frac{1}{2}H\left[\nabla_0^{\mathcal{F}^\perp}, H\right] + \frac{1}{3}H^3\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $\dim M = 2$, one has that

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} I\omega^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega^1_1 & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -IK\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 + \cdots & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

So we get

$$H\Omega_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -I^2K\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \omega^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H[\nabla_0^{\mathcal{F}^\perp}, H] = HdH = \begin{bmatrix} I\omega^3 \wedge d(I\omega^3) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I^2K\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \omega^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H^3 = 0,$$

and from which we have

$$\int_0^1 \operatorname{tr}[H\Omega_t]\,dt = -\frac{1}{2}I^2K\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \omega^3.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \wedge d\eta &= I\omega^3 \wedge d(I\omega^3) \\ &= I\omega^3 \wedge (dI \wedge \omega^3 + Id\omega^3) = I^2\omega^3 \wedge d\omega^3 \\ &= I^2\omega^3 \wedge (K\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 - J\omega^1 \wedge \omega^3) \\ &= I^2K\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \omega^3. \end{aligned}$$

So Theorem 2 follows. □

Example 1. *In* [7]*, Szabó proved that any two dimensional Berwald manifold is either locally Minkowskian or Riemannian. So the Chern-Simons form is identically zero for any two dimensional Berwald manifold.*

Example 2. *In* [3]*, Bryant constructed a family of two dimensional non-Riemannian Finsler manifolds with $K = 1$. From Theorem 2, the Chern-Simons secondary classes of these Finsler manifolds are not zero.*

It would be interesting to find examples to which the corresponding Chern-Simons form is exact but not zero.

4.3. **Godbillon-Vey-type class of Finsler manifolds.** Motivated by Theorem 2, for any closed and oriented Finsler manifold (M, F), we call the top form $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{n-1}$ on SM the Chern-Simons type form of the Finsler manifold (M, F) and the corresponding class $[\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{n-1}] \in H^{2n-1}(SM)$ the Chern-Simons type secondary class of (M, F).

Note that the form $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{n-1}$ is unchanged about the conformal metrics in Proposition 3. In the following, we will give a condition on conformal deformations of the Finsler metric which make η unchanged.

Proposition 4. *Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold. Let $\bar{F} = e^{\sigma} F$ be a conformal deformation of F, where $\sigma \in \pi^* C^{\infty}(M)$. Let η and $\bar{\eta}$ be the Cartan-type forms of (M, F) and $(M, \bar{F})$, respectively. If σ satisfies*

$$\mathbf{G}(\sigma)\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{I}^*, d\sigma^*) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{I}^*, d\sigma^* \rangle = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

then

$$\bar{\eta} = \eta,$$

where $\mathbf{G} = \frac{y^i}{F} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}$ is the geodesic vector field on SM; $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{I} := g^{ij} A_{ijk} \delta x^k := A_k \delta x^k$ are the Cartan tensor and the Cartan form, respectively; $\mathbf{I}^*, d\sigma^*$ are the dual vector fields of $\mathbf{I}, d\sigma$ with respect to the Sasaki-type metric of $T(SM)$, respectively.

Proof. By (2.1), one has

$$\overline{G}^i = G^i + \sigma_{x^k} y^k y^i - \frac{1}{2} F^2 \sigma_{x^k} g^{ki}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial \overline{G}^i}{\partial y^j} = \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j} + \sigma_{x^j} y^i + \sigma_{x^k} y^k \delta^i_j - F F_{y^j} \sigma_{x^k} g^{ki} + F A_{pqj} g^{ip} g^{qk} \sigma_{x^k},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\delta y^i} &= \frac{1}{\bar{F}} \left(dy^i + \frac{\partial \overline{G}^i}{\partial y^j} dx^j \right) \\
&= e^{-\sigma} \frac{1}{F} \left[dy^i + \left(\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j} + \sigma_{x^j} y^j + \sigma_{x^k} y^k \delta^i_j - F F_{y^j} \sigma_{x^k} g^{ki} + F A_{pqj} g^{ip} g^{qk} \sigma_{x^k} \right) dx^j \right] \\
&= e^{-\sigma} \delta y^i + e^{-\sigma} \frac{1}{F} \left(\sigma_{x^j} y^i + \sigma_{x^k} y^k \delta^i_j - F F_{y^j} \sigma_{x^k} g^{ki} + F A_{pqj} g^{ip} g^{qk} \sigma_{x^k} \right) \delta x^j.
\end{aligned}$$

Write $\omega^\gamma = v^\gamma_j \delta x^j$ and so $\omega^{n+\gamma} = J^*(\omega^\gamma) = v^\gamma_j \delta y^j$. Hence corresponding to the conformal metric $\bar{F}$, one has $\overline{\omega^i} = e^\sigma \omega^i$ and $\overline{\omega^{n+\gamma}} = e^\sigma v^i_j \overline{\delta y^j}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\omega^{n+\gamma}} &= v^\gamma_j \delta y^j + \frac{1}{F} v^\gamma_j (\sigma_{x^k} y^j + \sigma_{x^l} y^l \delta^j_k - F F_{y^k} \sigma_{x^l} g^{lj} + F A_{pqk} g^{jp} g^{ql} \sigma_{x^l}) \delta x^k \\
&= \omega^{n+\gamma} + \frac{1}{F} \sigma_{x^l} y^l v^\gamma_k \delta x^k - g^{lj} v^\gamma_j \sigma_{x^l} F_{y^k} \delta x^k + v^\gamma_j A_{pqk} g^{jp} g^{ql} \sigma_{x^l} \delta x^k \\
&= \omega^{n+\gamma} + \frac{1}{F} \sigma_{x^l} y^l \omega^\gamma + v^\gamma_j A_{pqk} g^{jp} g^{ql} \sigma_{x^l} \delta x^k - g^{lj} v^\gamma_j \sigma_{x^l} \omega^n.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from Remark 5, one sees easily that functions $H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are invariant under the above conformal transformations of the Finsler metric. Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\eta} &= \bar{H}_{ii\gamma} \overline{\omega^{n+\gamma}} \\
&= H_{ii\gamma} \omega^{n+\gamma} + \frac{1}{F} \sigma_{x^l} y^l H_{ii\gamma} \omega^\gamma + H_{ii\gamma} v^\gamma_j A_{pqk} g^{jp} g^{ql} \sigma_{x^l} \delta x^k - H_{ii\gamma} v^\gamma_j g^{lj} \sigma_{x^l} \omega^n \\
&= \eta + \frac{y^l}{F} \sigma_{x^l} \mathbf{I} + A_j g^{jp} A_{pqk} g^{ql} \sigma_{x^l} \delta x^k - A_j g^{jl} \sigma_{x^l} \omega^n \\
&= \eta + \mathbf{G}(\sigma) \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{I}^*, d\sigma^*) - \langle \mathbf{I}^*, d\sigma^* \rangle \omega^n.
\end{aligned}$$

□

By the above proposition, the Chern-Simons type form $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{n-1}$ is a conformal invariant when the conformal factor σ satisfies (4.3).

In some sense, the Chern-Simons type secondary class $[\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{n-1}]$ of a Finsler manifold (M, F) is an analogue of the Godbillon-Vey class in the foliation theory (for details one refers to [4]). So we also call the class $[\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{n-1}]$ a Godbillon-Vey-type class of (M, F).

In general, let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a foliation with $\mathcal{F}$ being the integrable subbundle of codimension q of TM.

If $\varpi \in \Omega^q(M)$ defines $\mathcal{F}$, then

$$d\varpi = \eta \wedge \varpi$$

for some 1-form η by the Frobenious theorem.

Set

$$\Omega^*(M; \mathcal{F}) = \Omega^*(M) \wedge \varpi.$$

Then it is well known that

$$d\Omega^*(M; \mathcal{F}) \subset \Omega^*(M; \mathcal{F}).$$

So $(\Omega^*(M; \mathcal{F}), d)$ is a subcomplex of the de Rham complex $(\Omega^*(M), d)$. The induced cohomology of $(\Omega^*(M; \mathcal{F}), d)$ are denoted by $H^*(M; \mathcal{F})$. The classes in $H^*(M; \mathcal{F})$ will be denoted by $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{F}}$ and those in $H^*(M)$ by $[\alpha]$.

The Godbillon mapping $\mathrm{g}(M; \mathcal{F})$ and Godbillon-Vey class are defined as follows.

Definition 3. *For each $k \geq 0$, $g(M; \mathcal{F})$ is a linear map*

$$\mathrm{g}(M; \mathcal{F}) : H^k(M; \mathcal{F}) \to H^{k+1}(M; \mathcal{F})$$

defined by

$$\mathrm{g}(M;\mathcal{F})[\alpha]_{\mathcal{F}} = [\eta \wedge \alpha].$$

This map depends only on $\mathcal{F}$, not on the admissible choices of ϖ and η.

Since $(d\eta)^q \in \Omega^{2q}(M;\mathcal{F})$ and is a closed form, then $[(d\eta)^q]_{\mathcal{F}} \in H^{2q}(M;\mathcal{F})$ ([4], Ch.7).

Definition 4. *The class*

$$\mathrm{gv}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{g}(M;\mathcal{F})[(d\eta)^q]_{\mathcal{F}} = [\eta \wedge (d\eta)^q]$$

is called the Godbillon-Vey class of a foliation $(M;\mathcal{F})$ of codimension q.

Now we consider the projective sphere bundle SM of a closed Finsler manifold (M,F). Note that if one sets

$$\varpi = \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^n, \tag{4.4}$$

then the globally defined n-form $\varpi \in \Omega^n(SM)$ defines the foliation $\mathcal{F} = V(SM)$ and the Cartan type form η verifies

$$d\varpi = \eta \wedge \varpi. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $(SM,\mathcal{F})$ is a foliation of codimension n, so $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n = 0$. But the situation of $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{n-1}$ is different. $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{n-1}$ is a top form on SM, so it represents a cohomology class of SM and is not zero in general.

We would like to end this paper with some remarks.

Remark 9. *Actually one can prove that the Godbillon map for our foliation $(SM,\mathcal{F})$ of a Finsler manifold (M,F) is a zero map. It is easy to prove that the Godbillon-Vey-type form is identically zero for any Berwald manifold. These Finsler manifolds with vanishing Godbillon-Vey-type class might be deserved to pay attention.*

Remark 10. *If $(d\eta)^k = 0$ for some $k \geq 1$, then $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{k-1}$ is closed. In this case, one has a class $[\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{k-1}] \in H^{2k-1}(SM)$. It would be interesting to explore the properties of the Finsler manifolds of $(d\eta)^k = 0$ and $[\eta \wedge (d\eta)^{k-1}] \neq 0$.*

REFERENCES

[1] Bao, D, Chern, S. S. and Shen, Z, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 200, Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc., 2000.

[2] Bismut, J-M. and Zhang, W. *An Extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller*. Astérisque, 205, Soc. Math. Franch, Paris, 1992.

[3] Bryant, R. L.,*Projectively flat Finsler 2-spheres of constant curvature*. Selecta Math. (New Series), 3(1997), 161-203.

[4] Candel, A. and Conlon, L. *Foliations II*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 60, AMS., 2003.

[5] Liu, K. and Zhang, W, *Adiabatic limits and foliations*. The Milgram Festschrift. Eds. A. Adem et. al., Contemp. Math., 279(2001), 195-208.

[6] Mo, X., *An Introduction to Finsler Geometry*. Peking University series in Math., Vol. 1, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2006.

[7] Szabó, Z., *Positive definite Berwald spaces (structure theorems on Berwald spaces)*. Tensor, N. S., 35(1981), 25-39.

[8] Zhang, W., *Lectures on Chern-Weil Theory and Witten Deformations*. Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 4, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2001.

Huitao Feng: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University of Technology, Chongqing 400054, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: `fht@cqut.edu.cn`

Ming Li: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University of Technology, Chongqing 400054, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: `mingli@cqut.edu.cn`